

ALETHEIA

CONTRACT DRAFT · VERIFICATION REVIEW

Verification Report

synthetic_contract_draft.txt

Report date 2026-09-30	Report ID 4e4409564066	Verifier Build Fingerprint 0.0.1 · 630d52ac9cb9
SHA-256 hash of the document 4a04b263488367411c813f6c892d899df48482fd9947c370ec3558cea5ad8879		

Overall status · ! Correction required

At a glance

2 ✓ Verified Finding 1 · Finding 5	3 ! Correction required Finding 2 · Finding 3 · Finding 4	0 ◆ Needs source or counsel
--	--	--------------------------------

Each passage appears once, with all its checks together. Opinions and incomplete checks require counsel's review; they are not counted as verified.

✓ CERTIFIED

Certification applies only to the recorded deterministic checks; unexamined material and advisory opinions are outside that assurance.

Every engaged checking engine was first tested against known-good and known-bad samples and responded correctly.

Contents

Methodology	3
Review limits	4
Quotation and citation findings	6
Appendix A – Annotated source	9
Technical Record	10

Finding index

✓ Verified	Finding 1 · Quoted words match exactly
! Correction required	Finding 2 · Does not match its source – correct or withdraw
! Correction required	Finding 3 · Does not match its source – correct or withdraw
! Correction required	Finding 4 · Does not match its source – correct or withdraw
✓ Verified	Finding 5 · Quoted words match exactly

Scope of Review

10

Quotation checks recorded (deterministic checks)

1

Sources named in completed checks

0

Citation and pincite checks recorded (deterministic checks)

Not measured in this packet

Characters compared verbatim

Deterministic checks may examine the same quotation; these are not counts of distinct quotations.

Methodology

01 Rule-based quotation and citation checks

Verbatim quotation comparison with the supplied source; Quoted fragments checked in source order; Citation page references checked where attributed to a quotation

The packet does not separately attest every normalization or alteration method; only the recorded checks below are claimed.

02 Pre-flight self-test

Every engaged checking engine was first tested against known-good and known-bad samples and responded correctly.

03 Paraphrase review

Two readers receive the source independently, each blind to the other's answer. Each reader cites the one passage it relies on most. A tiebreaker is called when they do not cleanly agree.

Each reader must support its view with a passage quoted word for word from the source; a quotation not confirmed word for word voids that reader's vote. A fixed counting rule, not any model, sets the panel result.

The readers could not run	◆ Panel unavailable
Fewer than two quotations are confirmed	◆ Inconclusive
No counted vote supports the paraphrase	! Not supported by the source
Every counted vote supports it	◆ Supported
The votes divide evenly	◆ Inconclusive
The votes are mixed, with a majority in either direction	! Overstated

Each reader selects at most one passage and records the reason for that choice. The selections and the recorded reasons do not establish an exhaustive search of every passage for or against the paraphrase, and they do not reveal reasoning the readers did not record.

Run safeguards and omitted checks

Not examined. No completed check covers this material; silence is not a pass. Report preparer: submit the identified section and its sources for the omitted check. Counsel must review it directly if that check remains unavailable.

Review limits

Some checks were not completed; consult the Technical Record for their scope and reasons.

Every combination of method, claim type, and source type that this run did not check, with the reason.

Why not: this engine applies to artifact kinds findings; this artifact is 'brief'

Engine: verification_core.gate_finding; **Claim type:** authority_attribution; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: no source of type record_document or transcript or instrument was supplied (supplied: unclassified). An untyped source cannot engage a typed engine -- classify it and re-run.

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_assertion; **Source type:** record_document; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_assertion; **Source type:** transcript; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_assertion; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** record_document; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** transcript; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: record_quotes.verify_record_quotation; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** record_document; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: record_quotes.verify_record_quotation; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** transcript; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: record_quotes.verify_record_quotation; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: no source of type statute or instrument was supplied (supplied: unclassified). An untyped source cannot engage a typed engine -- classify it and re-run.

Engine: pleading.provision_verify; **Claim type:** provision_quotation; **Source type:** statute; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: pleading.provision_verify; **Claim type:** provision_quotation; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: the `model\_advisory` tier was not requested (requested: deterministic). Scripts gate and models advise (doctrine §4), so a tier nobody asked for is an ABSENCE and never a clean result. ALSO: policy key 'boundary_review' was not supplied, so this engine had nothing to judge

Engine: boundary_review.review_boundary; **Claim type:** boundary_judgment; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** model_advisory

Why not: the `model\_advisory` tier was not requested (requested: deterministic). Scripts gate and models advise (doctrine §4), so a tier nobody asked for is an ABSENCE and never a clean result. ALSO: policy key 'propositions' was not supplied, so this engine had nothing to judge ALSO: no source of type case_law was supplied (supplied: unclassified). An untyped source cannot engage a typed engine -- classify it and re-run.

Engine: relevance_review.review_pair; **Claim type:** holding_relevance; **Source type:** case_law; **Tier:** model_advisory

Why not: the `qualified\_web` tier was not requested (requested: deterministic). Scripts gate and models advise (doctrine §4), so a tier nobody asked for is an ABSENCE and never a clean result. ALSO: policy key 'web_claims' was not supplied, so this engine had nothing to judge

Engine: web_verification.qualified_web; **Claim type:** general_assertion; **Source type:** <none needed>; **Tier:** qualified_web

Why not: THE PIN CITES IN THIS LIST WERE READ, AND THE ONES THIS PACKET COULD ATTRIBUTE TO A QUOTATION HAVE THEIR OWN ROWS. `pincite\_verification` compares the reporter page a citation NAMES against the pages the source's own [*N] star pagination puts the quoted passage on. THREE SHAPES ARE READ AND NOT JUDGED, and each has its own row saying so where it reaches a claim at all: a pin cite that no quotation structurally precedes -- this gate checks a citation only where it FOLLOWS the quotation it is offered for, because a citation matched by proximity may be another sentence's; a short-form, Id. or supra pin cite, whose risk is ANTECEDENT RESOLUTION and which nothing in this estate calibrates; and a source carrying no star pagination at all, which is the ordinary shape of a slip opinion, a statute and a regulation. A page is the only thing these rows are about: whether the quotation is verbatim, whether the authority exists and whose words they are are three other questions with three other rows.

Engine: adhoc_verification.verify_document; **Claim type:** pincite; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: NO CITATION RESOLVER RAN. `allow\_network` is off, so a citation the supplied sources do not carry comes back `unverified` -- an absence, and NEVER a finding that the authority does not exist (doctrine §2). Re-run with --allow-network to put the citations to CourtListener through the shared budget.

Engine: adhoc_verification.verify_document; **Claim type:** citation; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: SEGMENTATION IS A HEURISTIC OVER AN OPEN SET OF REPORTER FORMATS. A compiled export is split on apparatus this estate RECOGNISES -- a slip-opinion number, and four families of decided-line surveyed from real exports -- and nothing bounds what the next reporter prints. An unrecognised separator leaves two opinions in one document, where a quotation spliced across them is verbatim in the concatenation. The orchestrator's uncertain-segmentation net is the backstop and it is a net, not a closure: it needs the file to show more than one caption, more than one reporter cite AND more than one decided-line before it will doubt a run.

Engine: `adhoc_verification.verify_document`; **Claim type:** quotation; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Quotation and citation findings

✓ VERIFIED

Brief p.1

Finding 1 · Quoted words match exactly

DRAFT WORDING – DELETIONS STRUCK THROUGH

“The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.”

SOURCE WORDING – DIFFERENCES UNDERLINED

“The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.”

[Appendix A · Line 3](#)

Confirmed by 2 checks

Source / section: `synthetic_contract_source.txt`

[1.1] What you may rely on: The quoted words match the displayed source passage exactly.

[1.2] Next step and owner: Counsel: no word correction is needed. Aletheia checks the words, not the proposition; confirm that the passage supports the point it is cited for.

[Technical Record C0](#) · [Technical Record C5](#)

! CORRECTION REQUIRED

Brief p.1

Finding 2 · Does not match its source – correct or withdraw**DRAFT WORDING – DELETIONS STRUCK THROUGH**

“The agreement required written notice at least fifteen calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.”

SOURCE WORDING – DIFFERENCES UNDERLINED

“The agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.”

[Appendix A · Line 5](#)

Checks recorded: 2

Source / section: synthetic_contract_source.txt

[2.1] What you may rely on: The recorded check reports an error; this passage must not be treated as verified.

[2.2] Next step and owner: Counsel: apply the source comparison above or the recorded correction below, or withdraw the passage, before filing. Submit the revision for re-verification.

Replace the draft wording with this source wording (review the entire comparison):

The agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.

[Technical Record C1](#) · [Technical Record C6](#)

! CORRECTION REQUIRED

Brief p.1

Finding 3 · Does not match its source – correct or withdraw**DRAFT WORDING – DELETIONS STRUCK THROUGH**

“The arbitrator did find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.”

SOURCE WORDING – DIFFERENCES UNDERLINED

“The arbitrator did not find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.”

Appendix A · Line 7

Checks recorded: 2

Source / section: synthetic_contract_source.txt

[3.1] What you may rely on: The recorded check reports an error; this passage must not be treated as verified.

[3.2] Next step and owner: Counsel: apply the source comparison above or the recorded correction below, or withdraw the passage, before filing. Submit the revision for re-verification.

Replace the draft wording with this source wording (review the entire comparison):

The arbitrator did not find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.

Technical Record C2 · Technical Record C7

! CORRECTION REQUIRED

Finding 4 · Does not match its source – correct or withdraw

DRAFT WORDING – DELETIONS STRUCK THROUGH

“The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door, ~~and the courier produced the origi~~”

SOURCE WORDING – DIFFERENCES UNDERLINED

“The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door.”

After a separate recess, the”

Appendix A · Line 9

Checks recorded: 2

Source / section: synthetic_contract_source.txt

[4.1] What you may rely on: The recorded check reports an error; this passage must not be treated as verified.

[4.2] Next step and owner: Counsel: apply the source comparison above or the recorded correction below, or withdraw the passage, before filing. Submit the revision for re-verification.

Replace the draft wording with this source wording (review the entire comparison):

The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door.
After a separate recess, the

[Technical Record C3](#) · [Technical Record C8](#)

✓ VERIFIED

Brief p.1

Finding 5 · Quoted words match exactly

DRAFT WORDING – DELETIONS STRUCK THROUGH

“Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.”

SOURCE WORDING – DIFFERENCES UNDERLINED

“Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.”

[Appendix A · Line 13](#)

Confirmed by 2 checks

Source / section: synthetic_contract_source.txt

[5.1] What you may rely on: The quoted words match the displayed source passage exactly.

[5.2] Next step and owner: Counsel: no word correction is needed. Aletheia checks the words, not the proposition; confirm that the passage supports the point it is cited for.

[Technical Record C4](#) · [Technical Record C9](#)

Appendix A – Annotated source

synthetic_contract_source.txt

Unmarked source text is context only and has not been verified by a highlight.

1	SYNTHETIC PUBLIC DEMO SOURCE – NOT A REAL COURT OPINION	
2		

3	<u>The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.</u>	Source of finding Finding 1
4		
5	<u>The agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.</u>	Source of finding Finding 2
6		
7	<u>The arbitrator did not find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.</u>	Source of finding Finding 3
8		
9	<u>The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door.</u>	Source of finding Finding 4
10		Source of finding Finding 4
11	<u>After a separate recess, the courier produced the original envelope and confirmed that its seal remained intact.</u>	Source of finding Finding 4
12		
13	<u>Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.</u>	Source of finding Finding 5

Technical Record

Scope of Review

10

Quotation checks recorded (deterministic checks)

0

Citation and pincite checks recorded (deterministic checks)

1

Sources named in completed checks

Not measured in this packet

Characters compared verbatim

Deterministic checks may examine the same quotation; these are not counts of distinct quotations.

Rule-based quotation and citation checks

Verbatim quotation comparison with the supplied source; Quoted fragments checked in source order;
Citation page references checked where attributed to a quotation

The packet does not separately attest every normalization or alteration method; only the recorded checks below are claimed.

Hard failures (6)

A rule-based check compared this passage against the sources supplied and found that it does not match. Every entry here is a rule-based finding, not an opinion.

REFUSED – this did not verify

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict not_found_in_source · disposition accuses · severity hard_failure · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine verification_core.verify · #1

Correct the passage or withdraw it before filing.

The agreement required written notice at least fifteen calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.

No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result; Source against which it was checked: This finding names no source document, and no passage is shown.

Brief p.1

Layer 1: NOT_FOUND_IN_SOURCE

No matched passage to display. refused -- there is no matched span to show

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 248 – 368, of the basis text raw

Information disclosed by this packet (1)

Why this finding has no audit-ready source document: [Audit note · unaudited source](#)

C1

REFUSED – this did not verify

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict not_found_in_source · disposition accuses · severity hard_failure · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine verification_core.verify · #2

Correct the passage or withdraw it before filing.

The arbitrator did find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.

*No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result;
Source against which it was checked: This finding names no source document, and no passage is shown.*

Brief p.1

Layer 1: NOT_FOUND_IN_SOURCE

No matched passage to display. refused -- there is no matched span to show

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 396 – 494, of the basis text raw

Information disclosed by this packet (1)

Why this finding has no audit-ready source document: [Audit note](#) · [unaudited source](#)

C2

REFUSED – this did not verify

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict not_found_in_source · disposition accuses · severity hard_failure · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine verification_core.verify · [#3](#)

Correct the passage or withdraw it before filing.

The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door, and the courier produced the origi

*No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result;
Source against which it was checked: This finding names no source document, and no passage is shown.*

Layer 1: NOT_FOUND_IN_SOURCE

No matched passage to display. refused -- there is no matched span to show

Location within the draft: **NOT DRAWN** – the extraction that produced this row reports no offset for it in the artifact, and a mark placed by a second search would be a highlight of whatever that search matched rather than of the text the gate read

Information disclosed by this packet (1)

Why this finding has no audit-ready source document: [Audit note](#) · [unaudited source](#)

C3

REFUSED – this did not verify

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict basis_not_verbatim_in_order · disposition accuses · severity hard_failure · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine relevance_review_basis_verifies · [#6](#)

Correct the passage or withdraw it before filing.

The agreement required written notice at least fifteen calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.

No correction was offered for this finding.; Source against which it was checked: This finding names no source document, and no passage is shown.

What the deterministic check said: every fragment must verify IN THE SOURCE'S ORDER, without overlap, and WITHIN ONE DOCUMENT; this one does not (F-01/F-47, and B2-3R3's cross-document splice)

Brief p.1

Layer 1: BASIS_NOT_VERBATIM_IN_ORDER

No matched passage to display. refused -- there is no matched span to show

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 248 – 368, of the basis text raw

Information disclosed by this packet (1)

Why this finding has no audit-ready source document: [Audit note](#) · [unaudited source](#)

C6

REFUSED – this did not verify

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict basis_not_verbatim_in_order · disposition accuses · severity hard_failure · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine relevance_review._basis_verifies · #7

Correct the passage or withdraw it before filing.

The arbitrator did find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.

No correction was offered for this finding.; Source against which it was checked: This finding names no source document, and no passage is shown.

What the deterministic check said: every fragment must verify IN THE SOURCE'S ORDER, without overlap, and WITHIN ONE DOCUMENT; this one does not (F-01/F-47, and B2-3R3's cross-document splice)

Brief p.1

Layer 1: BASIS_NOT_VERBATIM_IN_ORDER

No matched passage to display. refused -- there is no matched span to show

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 396 – 494, of

the basis text raw

Information disclosed by this packet (1)

Why this finding has no audit-ready source document: [Audit note](#) · [unaudited source](#)

C7

REFUSED – this did not verify

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict basis_not_verbatim_in_order · disposition accuses · severity hard_failure · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine relevance_review_basis_verifies · #8

Correct the passage or withdraw it before filing.

The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door, and the courier produced the original envelope and confirmed that its seal remained intact.

No correction was offered for this finding.; Source against which it was checked: This finding names no source document, and no passage is shown.

What the deterministic check said: every fragment must verify IN THE SOURCE'S ORDER, without overlap, and WITHIN ONE DOCUMENT; this one does not (F-01/F-47, and B2-3R3's cross-document splice)

Brief p.1

Layer 1: BASIS_NOT_VERBATIM_IN_ORDER

No matched passage to display. refused -- there is no matched span to show

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 539 – 718, of the basis text raw

Information disclosed by this packet (1)

Why this finding has no audit-ready source document: [Audit note](#) · [unaudited source](#)

C8

Advisory concerns (0)

No findings in this class.

Not established (abstentions) (0)

No findings in this class.

Nothing raised – and no passage established (0)

No findings in this class.

Verified (4)

The rule-based check found the passage in the named document, at the thresholds recorded in the Technical Record.

VERIFIED – checked, and clean

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict verbatim_ok · disposition clean · severity none · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine verification_core.verify · #0

No action required. The deterministic check compared this against the named source and it matched.

The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.

No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result

Source against which it was checked: synthetic_contract_source.txt

Brief p.1 · Ex. 1

Layer 1: VERBATIM_OK

SYNTHETIC PUBLIC DEMO SOURCE — NOT A REAL COURT OPINION The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening. The agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.

The identified text within **synthetic_contract_source.txt**, characters 57 – 169, of the basis text raw – extracted from the source this run was given, at the offsets this finding carries.

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 94 – 208, of the basis text raw

This engine provided no information beyond its result.

C0

VERIFIED – checked, and clean

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict verbatim_ok · disposition clean · severity none · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine verification_core.verify · #4

No action required. The deterministic check compared this against the named source and it matched.

Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate

verification behavior.

No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result

Source against which it was checked: synthetic_contract_source.txt

Brief p.1 · Ex. 1

Layer 1: VERBATIM_OK

oor. After a separate recess, the courier produced the original envelope and confirmed that its seal remained intact. Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.

The identified text within **synthetic_contract_source.txt**, characters 593 – 705, of the basis text raw – extracted from the source this run was given, at the offsets this finding carries.

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 752 – 866, of the basis text raw

This engine provided no information beyond its result.

C4

VERIFIED – checked, and clean

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict basis_verbatim_in_order · disposition clean · severity none · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine relevance_review_basis_verifies · #5

No action required. The deterministic check compared this against the named source and it matched.

The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.

No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result

Source against which it was checked: synthetic_contract_source.txt

Brief p.1 · Ex. 1

Layer 1: BASIS_VERBATIM_IN_ORDER

The offsets this finding carries do not fit the source it names. The document was supplied to this rendering and it is shorter than the span, so the characters below are the excerpt the packet stored and not a slice of the named document. Nothing here has been searched for.

synthetic public demo source not a real court opinion the seller transmitted the signed schedule before five oclock and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening the agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed termination became effective th

The identified text within **synthetic_contract_source.txt**, characters 54 – 163, of the basis text loose_normalized .

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 94 – 208, of the basis text raw

This engine provided no information beyond its result.

C5

VERIFIED – checked, and clean

SCRIPT GATE Technical verdict basis_verbatim_in_order · disposition clean · severity none · claim type quotation · tier deterministic · engine relevance_review._basis_verifies · #9

No action required. The deterministic check compared this against the named source and it matched.

Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.

No correction was offered for this finding.; What the deterministic check said: nothing beyond the result

Source against which it was checked: synthetic_contract_source.txt

Brief p.1 · Ex. 1

Layer 1: BASIS_VERBATIM_IN_ORDER

The offsets this finding carries do not fit the source it names. The document was supplied to this rendering and it is shorter than the span, so the characters below are the excerpt the packet stored and not a slice of the named document. Nothing here has been searched for.

ing door after a separate recess the courier produced the original envelope and confirmed that its seal remained intact every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior

The identified text within **synthetic_contract_source.txt**, characters 577 – 688, of the basis text loose_normalized .

Location within the draft: The identified text within synthetic_contract_draft.txt, characters 752 – 866, of the basis text raw

This engine provided no information beyond its result.

C9

Audit note: UNAUDITED – this row does not carry `source`, so this packet cannot show you the document against which this finding was checked. Without this reference, the packet cannot indicate the specific location where the check found a match. The row is reported exactly as its producer stated it and nothing here corroborates it.

The source passage shown here comes from an additional recorded comparison. The original finding remains in the Technical Record.

C1, C6: {"alignment": {"accusation_blocked_by": "", "containment_status": "not_found_in_source", "corrected_quote": "The agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed

termination became effective.", "deviations": [{"brief_orig_span": [47, 54], "brief_text": "fifteen", "kind": "word_changed", "position": 7, "source_orig_span": [218, 224], "source_text": "thirty"}], "disagreements": [], "matched_text": "The agreement required written notice at least thirty calendar days before any proposed termination became effective.", "src_orig_span": [171, 288], "verdict": "misquote", "claim_sha256": "8af33b161910c77bfe9202086abd1556b56d306c17b64e07e811ed00b484ee1a", "source": "synthetic_contract_source.txt", "source_sha256": "e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759"}

C2, C7: {"alignment": {"accusation_blocked_by": "", "containment_status": "not_found_in_source", "corrected_quote": "The arbitrator did not find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.", "deviations": [{"brief_orig_span": [-1, -1], "brief_text": "", "kind": "word_added_in_source", "position": -1, "source_orig_span": [309, 312], "source_text": "not"}], "disagreements": [], "matched_text": "The arbitrator did not find that the inspection report concealed a material defect in the equipment.", "src_orig_span": [290, 390], "verdict": "misquote", "claim_sha256": "00f0fac1b5196b5afd8e269d51a27ad52db312a11fe73a93a8f117ae6f06d6a1", "source": "synthetic_contract_source.txt", "source_sha256": "e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759"}

C3: {"alignment": {"accusation_blocked_by": "", "containment_status": "not_found_in_source", "corrected_quote": "The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door[.] After a separate recess, the", "deviations": [{"brief_orig_span": [80, 85], "brief_text": "door,", "kind": "sentence_boundary_fused", "position": 11, "source_orig_span": [472, 477], "source_text": "door."}, {"brief_orig_span": [86, 89], "brief_text": "and", "kind": "word_changed", "position": 12, "source_orig_span": [479, 484], "source_text": "After"}, {"brief_orig_span": [90, 93], "brief_text": "the", "kind": "word_changed", "position": 13, "source_orig_span": [485, 486], "source_text": "a"}, {"brief_orig_span": [94, 101], "brief_text": "courier", "kind": "word_changed", "position": 14, "source_orig_span": [487, 495], "source_text": "separate"}, {"brief_orig_span": [102, 110], "brief_text": "produced", "kind": "word_changed", "position": 15, "source_orig_span": [496, 503], "source_text": "recess,"}, {"brief_orig_span": [115, 120], "brief_text": "origi", "kind": "word_missing_in_source", "position": 17, "source_orig_span": [-1, -1], "source_text": ""}], "disagreements": [], "matched_text": "The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door.\n\nAfter a separate recess, the", "src_orig_span": [392, 507], "verdict": "misquote", "claim_sha256": "ba4f23c233d95b214e8e94e3b6e50d89916eefa999736770bc51692e751e3987", "source": "synthetic_contract_source.txt", "source_sha256": "e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759"}

C5: {"alignment": {"accusation_blocked_by": "", "containment_status": "verbatim_ok", "corrected_quote": "The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.", "deviations": [], "disagreements": [], "matched_text": "The seller transmitted the signed schedule before five o'clock, and the buyer acknowledged receipt that evening.", "src_orig_span": [57, 169], "verdict": "verbatim", "claim_sha256": "f3267e290beed6d7526956fc3382ba3bc79e08e15005af74d0961eca2bbfcc9e", "source": "synthetic_contract_source.txt", "source_sha256": "e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759"}

C8: {"alignment": {"accusation_blocked_by": "", "containment_status": "not_found_in_source", "corrected_quote":

"The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door[,] After a separate recess, the courier produced the original envelope and confirmed that its seal remained intact.", "deviations": [{"brief_orig_span": [80, 85], "brief_text": "door,", "kind": "sentence_boundary_fused", "position": 11, "source_orig_span": [472, 477], "source_text": "door."}, {"brief_orig_span": [-1, -1], "brief_text": "", "kind": "word_added_in_source", "position": -1, "source_orig_span": [479, 484], "source_text": "After"}, {"brief_orig_span": [-1, -1], "brief_text": "", "kind": "word_added_in_source", "position": -1, "source_orig_span": [485, 486], "source_text": "a"}, {"brief_orig_span": [-1, -1], "brief_text": "", "kind": "word_added_in_source", "position": -1, "source_orig_span": [487, 495], "source_text": "separate"}, {"brief_orig_span": [86, 89], "brief_text": "and", "kind": "word_changed", "position": 12, "source_orig_span": [496, 503], "source_text": "recess,"}], "disagreements": [], "matched_text": "The warehouse ledger recorded twelve sealed cartons beside the northern loading door.\n\nAfter a separate recess, the courier produced the original envelope and confirmed that its seal remained intact.", "src_orig_span": [392, 591], "verdict": "misquote"}, "claim_sha256": "667fd8106aff1379df6aca4c533703301ae77e047cbb593b5bd462cdde11951", "source": "synthetic_contract_source.txt", "source_sha256": "e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759"}

C9: {"alignment": {"accusation_blocked_by": "", "containment_status": "verbatim_ok", "corrected_quote": "Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.", "deviations": [], "disagreements": [], "matched_text": "Every person and transaction described here is fictional and exists solely to demonstrate verification behavior.", "src_orig_span": [593, 705], "verdict": "verbatim"}, "claim_sha256": "a9b383ae38e0e46203aef766b157b97ff3f2a31a0273d575c3d0d0d784033d1c", "source": "synthetic_contract_source.txt", "source_sha256": "e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759"}

Cover summary – read this first

This page reports what was checked, what was not checked, and whether the checks used were shown to work before the document was read. An absence here is never a pass.

6 Hard failures	0 Advisory concerns	0 Not established (abstentions)	4 Verified	0 Nothing raised – and no passage established
---------------------------	-------------------------------	---	----------------------	---

10

Claims in total

Artifact: synthetic_contract_draft.txt (brief)

SHA-256 hash of the document:

4a04b263488367411c813f6c892d899df48482fd9947c370ec3558cea5ad8879

Character count of the document: 867

Verification tiers requested:

deterministic

Engines engaged:

verification_core.verify; relevance_review._basis_verifies; pincite_verification.verify_pincites

Claims by disposition:

accuses 6; clean 4

Pre-flight self-test – PASSED – every engaged gate that ships a probe was forced to fire, and it fired

A check that cannot catch a known error is not a valid control. Before reading the document, each engaged engine that ships a probe must catch a known error and raise no finding on its correct counterpart.

Probes executed: 4

Probes failed: 0

Methods whose pre-flight self-test failed: *none*Engines that ran UNFALSIFIED – no probe ships with them, so their silence is not evidence: *none***Claim types not judged by any probe:**

verification_core.verify/citation

Certification – CERTIFIED

Every engaged gate was forced to fire, nothing died, and the instruments identify the code that ran.

Anything that would have withheld it: *nothing withheld it***Digest of this packet's body:**

4e440956406611e6d6b1ef2ad7ac25b13573e74b4a9a408e8175bd8542b9c105

The certification language, in full and verbatim

DETERMINISTIC LAYERS ONLY. This packet certifies what the SCRIPT GATES established by comparing the artifact against the sources listed in `run.sources`, at the floors recorded in `run.floors`, using the code identified in `run.instruments`. THE SCRIPT GATES ARE THE ROWS OF `coverage.checked` WHOSE `tier: deterministic` -- that list is on this packet and a reader can resolve it without trusting this sentence. It certifies nothing else. `summary.engines\_engaged` lists EVERY

engaged engine, and two kinds of seat are OUTSIDE this certificate. Model-advisory seats are labelled `tier: model\_advisory` and never set a verdict (doctrine §4). INTERNET-QUALIFIED rows are labelled `tier: qualified\_web` and are a record of what independently-registered domains carried when they were read -- never an authentication, and never a script gate comparing this artifact against a supplied source (doctrine §26). A run that engaged that seat can still be certified, because what is certified is the DETERMINISTIC rows and those rows are unaffected by it; what its own canary probe proves is stated on the probe. ABSENT IS NOT CLEAN: every claim-type x source-type pair this run did not check is listed in `coverage.unchecked` with the reason it was not checked, and an abstaining verdict (`disposition: abstains`) is a statement that the check could not be made -- never that it passed. A citation this run could not resolve is `unverified`, which is an absence and NOT a finding of fabrication (doctrine §2). WHAT THE DIGESTS DO AND DO NOT DO (B2-3R2 ride-along 11):

`certification.sha256` is an UNKEYED SHA-256 over the packet body, so it detects a packet EDITED SINCE IT WAS STAMPED and nothing more. THE BODY IS THIS PACKET WITHOUT ITS CERTIFICATION BLOCK AND WITHOUT THE TWO RUN CLOCKS `run.started\_utc` and `run.finished\_utc` (E-1). The digest identifies the CONTENT of the run -- its rows, its floors, its instruments, its canary and its coverage -- so that two runs of the same inputs produce the same digest and can be compared; a digest over the wall clock differs on every run and therefore distinguishes nothing. The clocks are ON this packet and are shown beside it. What that costs is stated rather than left to be found: an edit to a timestamp ALONE does not break this digest. Anyone who edits the packet can recompute it with the standard library, and `validate\_packet` re-derives the certification and the canary from the packet's own rows for that reason -- an internal contradiction is what a reader can actually detect. Neither is a defence against a party who controls the packet: this is an INTEGRITY record, not a signature, and treating it as one would be the kind of claim this campaign exists to stop making.

Coverage

What was checked. Findings labelled "deterministic" are the rule-based checks covered by the certificate above.

Engine: verification_core.verify; **Claim type:** quotation; **Source types read:** ['unclassified']; **Tier:** deterministic; **Claims:** 5

Engine: verification_core.verify; **Claim type:** citation; **Source types read:** ['unclassified']; **Tier:** deterministic; **Claims:** 0

Engine: relevance_review._basis_verifies; **Claim type:** quotation; **Source types read:** ['unclassified']; **Tier:** deterministic; **Claims:** 5

Engine: pincite_verification.verify_pincites; **Claim type:** pincite; **Source types read:** ['unclassified']; **Tier:** deterministic; **Claims:** 0

Every combination of method, claim type, and source type that this run did not check, with the reason.

Why not: this engine applies to artifact kinds findings; this artifact is 'brief'

Engine: verification_core.gate_finding; **Claim type:** authority_attribution; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: no source of type record_document or transcript or instrument was supplied (supplied: unclassified). An untyped source cannot engage a typed engine -- classify it and re-run.

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_assertion; **Source type:** record_document; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_assertion; **Source type:** transcript; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_assertion; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** record_document; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** transcript; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: discovery.grounding.deterministic_findings; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: record_quotes.verify_record_quotation; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** record_document; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: record_quotes.verify_record_quotation; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** transcript; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: record_quotes.verify_record_quotation; **Claim type:** record_quotation; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: no source of type statute or instrument was supplied (supplied: unclassified). An untyped source cannot engage a typed engine -- classify it and re-run.

Engine: pleading.provision_verify; **Claim type:** provision_quotation; **Source type:** statute; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine: pleading.provision_verify; **Claim type:** provision_quotation; **Source type:** instrument; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: the `model\_advisory` tier was not requested (requested: deterministic). Scripts gate and models advise (doctrine §4), so a tier nobody asked for is an ABSENCE and never a clean result. ALSO: policy key 'boundary_review' was not supplied, so this engine had nothing to judge

Engine: boundary_review.review_boundary; **Claim type:** boundary_judgment; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** model_advisory

Why not: the `model\_advisory` tier was not requested (requested: deterministic). Scripts gate and models advise (doctrine §4), so a tier nobody asked for is an ABSENCE and never a clean result. ALSO: policy key 'propositions' was not supplied, so this engine had nothing to judge ALSO: no source of type case_law was supplied (supplied: unclassified). An untyped source cannot engage a typed engine -- classify it and re-run.

Engine: relevance_review.review_pair; **Claim type:** holding_relevance; **Source type:** case_law; **Tier:** model_advisory

Why not: the `qualified\_web` tier was not requested (requested: deterministic). Scripts gate and models advise (doctrine §4), so a tier nobody asked for is an ABSENCE and never a clean result. ALSO: policy key 'web_claims' was not supplied, so this engine had nothing to judge

Engine: web_verification.qualified_web; **Claim type:** general_assertion; **Source type:** <none needed>; **Tier:** qualified_web

Why not: THE PIN CITES IN THIS LIST WERE READ, AND THE ONES THIS PACKET COULD ATTRIBUTE TO A QUOTATION HAVE THEIR OWN ROWS. `pincite\_verification` compares the reporter page a citation NAMES against the pages the source's own [*N] star pagination puts the quoted passage on. THREE SHAPES ARE READ AND NOT JUDGED, and each has its own row saying so where it reaches a claim at all: a pin cite that no quotation structurally precedes -- this gate checks a citation only where it FOLLOWS the quotation it is offered for, because a citation matched by proximity may be another sentence's; a short-form, Id. or supra pin cite, whose risk is ANTECEDENT RESOLUTION and which nothing in this estate calibrates; and a source carrying no star pagination at all, which is the ordinary shape of a slip opinion, a statute and a regulation. A page is the only thing these rows are about: whether the quotation is verbatim, whether the authority exists and whose words they are are three other questions with three other rows.

Engine: adhoc_verification.verify_document; **Claim type:** pincite; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: NO CITATION RESOLVER RAN. `allow\_network` is off, so a citation the supplied sources do not carry comes back `unverified` -- an absence, and NEVER a finding that the authority does not exist (doctrine §2). Re-run with --allow-network to put the citations to CourtListener through the shared budget.

Engine: adhoc_verification.verify_document; **Claim type:** citation; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Why not: SEGMENTATION IS A HEURISTIC OVER AN OPEN SET OF REPORTER FORMATS. A compiled export is split on apparatus this estate RECOGNISES -- a slip-opinion number, and four families of decided-line surveyed from real exports -- and nothing bounds what the next reporter prints. An unrecognised separator leaves two opinions in one document, where a quotation spliced across them is verbatim in the concatenation. The orchestrator's uncertain-segmentation net is the backstop and it is a net, not a closure: it needs the file to show more than one caption, more than one reporter cite AND more than one decided-line before it will doubt a run.

Engine: adhoc_verification.verify_document; **Claim type:** quotation; **Source type:** *; **Tier:** deterministic

Engine errors

No engaged engine raised an exception.

The pre-flight self-test, probe by probe

Each entry tests whether a check catches a known error and raises no finding on its correct counterpart. A check not shown to catch errors proves nothing by raising none.

Probe: quote_containment

Gate class: verbatim quotation containment against a supplied source

Claim types it judges:

quotation

Claim types it does not judge:

citation

Status: passed

Required test finding: an ACCUSATION

Known error detected: yes

No finding on the correct sample: yes

Results on the known error:

not_found_in_source

Results on the correct sample:

verbatim_ok

Defects declared: 1

Defects matched: 1

Test errors sharing an accusation: 0

Accusations available: 1

The probe's own reason:

Declared test errors and whether each produced its own accusation

Defect: spliced_tail; **Claim contains:** and treble damages and counsel fees follow; **Caught:** True;

Verdict: not_found_in_source; **Disposition:** accuses; **Shared accusation:** False

Probe: quote_reordered_elision

Gate class: an elided quotation must verify IN THE SOURCE'S ORDER (F-01/P-09)

Claim types it judges:

quotation

Claim types it does not judge:

citation

Status: passed**Required test finding:** an ACCUSATION**Known error detected:** yes**No finding on the correct sample:** yes**Results on the known error:**

not_found_in_source

Results on the correct sample:

verbatim_ok

Defects declared: 1**Defects matched:** 1**Test errors sharing an accusation:** 0**Accusations available:** 1**The probe's own reason:**

Declared test errors and whether each produced its own accusation

Defect: reordered_elision; **Claim contains:** the burden never shifts to the opposing party ... a party seeking relief; **Caught:** True; **Verdict:** not_found_in_source; **Disposition:** accuses; **Shared accusation:** False

Probe: basis_order**Gate class:** an elided basis quote must verify IN ORDER, every fragment, without overlap**Claim types it judges:**

quotation

Claim types it does not judge: none**Status:** passed**Required test finding:** an ACCUSATION

Known error detected: yes

No finding on the correct sample: yes

Results on the known error:

basis_not_verbatim_in_order

Results on the correct sample:

basis_verbatim_in_order

Defects declared: 1

Defects matched: 1

Test errors sharing an accusation: 0

Accusations available: 1

The probe's own reason:

Declared test errors and whether each produced its own accusation

Defect: fabricated_middle_fragment; **Claim contains:** ... and treble damages ...; **Caught:** True;

Verdict: basis_not_verbatim_in_order; **Disposition:** accuses; **Shared accusation:** False

Probe: pincite_page

Gate class: the pin cite names a page the passage really sits on (pages the passage TOUCHES n pages the cite NAMES)

Claim types it judges:

pincite

Claim types it does not judge: none

Status: passed

Required test finding: an ACCUSATION

Known error detected: yes

No finding on the correct sample: yes

Results on the known error:

pincite_page_mismatch

Results on the correct sample:

pincite_verified

Defects declared: 1

Defects matched: 1

Test errors sharing an accusation: 0

Accusations available: 1

The probe's own reason:

Declared test errors and whether each produced its own accusation

Defect: pin_page_not_in_the_opinion; **Claim contains:** 2 Canary 1, 9; **Caught:** True; **Verdict:** pincite_page_mismatch; **Disposition:** accuses; **Shared accusation:** False

Frozen canary corpus: c5c88186722e0c7bae7b87f7fd47484da1c79dfed5348a48d8f8d4e7a05b64a8

Canary corpus version: 6

Tallies

By verdict:

basis_not_verbatim_in_order 3; basis_verbatim_in_order 2; not_found_in_source 3; verbatim_ok 2

By engine:

relevance_review.basis_verifies 5; verification_core.verify 5

By severity:

hard_failure 6; none 4

Claim and source type pairs not checked: 18

Provenance – what produced this packet

The artifact to which this packet pertains

Fit to be verified at all: **Checked – the document itself extracted cleanly enough to be read**

Measured: tokens 121, alpha_density 0.9676, split_word_rate 0.0, interior_caps_rate 0.0, mixed_alnum_token_rate 0.0

Sources

Each document provided to the run, with the digest of the bytes read

Source: synthetic_contract_source.txt; **Type:** unclassified; **SHA-256:**

e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759; **Characters:** 706; **Suitability for verification:** **Checked – fit to verify against**

Measured: tokens 102, alpha_density 0.9833, split_word_rate 0.0, interior_caps_rate 0.0, mixed_alnum_token_rate 0.0

The software that ran

The instruments identify the code: yes

A measured file had uncommitted changes at run time: no

Orchestrator version: e-1.0

Packet schema version: 8

Started (UTC): 2026-09-30T03:56:21.865899+00:00

Finished (UTC): 2026-09-30T03:56:22.622752+00:00

Every file that can influence the result in this packet, and the state each was in when the run started.

Instrument	Object
backend/app/services/actor_identity.py	cce29027560fa08317d817a29cd452562d52fdda
backend/app/services/boundary_review.py	766094013ebb4e682ca896a40ef27fca081bc3ea
backend/app/services/casenames.py	e102652593c6b442082d7862f8a3c0f539f5a2ed
backend/app/services/content_verification.py	e491e5be9555f3d299bfdb8b423fd81623e506de
backend/app/services/discovery/grounding.py	49c32c42a45bc32259407e71bd01aa3ac8985bce

Instrument	Object
backend/app/services/pincite_verification.py	e871465f1b0af50c2e169e4a240bad731b143468
backend/app/services/pleading/provision_verify.py	441b1823cb7953b0e9c845c200675253a55718a9
backend/app/services/record_quotes.py	fdd51997c97858d4b3a453af2ab19a7b8a68b028
backend/app/services/relevance_review.py	f10a09e4f84ed9eb267fd817fc310277d805b973
backend/app/services/verification_canary_corpus.json	a1cd09f65aefaa6b70caa201a3fd9616c4b040e4
backend/app/services/verification_core.py	8d6f46efc44f90d34145c950811a5316e2090cdb
backend/app/services/verification_orchestrator.py	f1c6133b9b9dd11cf0be615876546144822e26ba
backend/app/services/web_capture_store.py	a91763a43af2fc2b5f46635a4b987c58e6b0ae90
backend/app/services/web_verification.py	aefa6536a47fe8db3bd01f19868fd4d782103c24

The thresholds in force

Minimum quoted words: 8

Minimum quoted characters: 25

Minimum words per retained fragment: 4

Statuses classified as clean:

verbatim_ok; verbatim_ok_below_floor

Required independent internet sources: 3

Character span from anchor where value may appear: 400

Shared five-word sequences that cause two web pages to count as one source: 0.55

Word count per sequence in the comparison: 5

Resolved policy

Policy:

boundary_sources_reviewed 3; **citation_resolver** – ; **verifier_core_provenance** {'core_sha': '630d52ac9cb967dece2667b4bd1c39d3d6667fa2', 'core_version': '0.0.1', 'reconciled_from':

```
['legal_ai_project', 'hermes-os', 'legal_ai_tools'], 'status': 'wired'}
```

Digests

Artifact: 4a04b263488367411c813f6c892d899df48482fd9947c370ec3558cea5ad8879

Sources:

synthetic_contract_source.txt

e4ea879b5858216da100989f89efec134753fa67523ec6f6621a85bd5f723759

Frozen canary corpus: c5c88186722e0c7bae7b87f7fd47484da1c79dfed5348a48d8f8d4e7a05b64a8

Automated review and human sign-off

This summary reports only checks already disclosed on rows. The fields are blank for a human reviewer; they are not a system certification.

Independent Layer-3 checks: not measured.; Two-model materiality checks: not measured.; Two-model paraphrase checks: not measured.; Weak nearest-attribution links: 0.

Certified by: _____ Date: _____

Reviewed by: _____ Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

Chambers Serif (derived from Source Serif 4) and Liberation Sans are embedded under SIL OFL 1.1; DejaVu Sans provides fallback glyphs under the Bitstream Vera and Arev font licenses.

Packet fields intentionally omitted (7)

certification.certified – the state's SHADOW -- a boolean kept for callers that only ask the yes/no question, and `validate\_packet` refuses a packet where the two disagree. Printing both would invite a reader to compare two spellings of one decision instead of reading the state and its reason, which are what the next move depends on.;

run.artifact.integrity.reporter_typography – the disclosed backtick/brace sample. The measurement's NOTE KEY is rendered in the integrity cell and these tokens are not: printing four backticked words beside a source this gate did not refuse would read as evidence about a document nothing here took issue with, and this measurement decides nothing by construction.;

run.sources[].integrity.override_of_reasons – the reason keys AS THEY STOOD WHEN THE OPERATOR ASSERTED. The cell prints `integrity.reasons` -- the same keys, live -- and printing both would offer a reader two places to read one fact. This copy exists so a later re-measurement of the same bytes can be compared to what the operator was actually looking at.;

run.sources[].integrity.reporter_typography – the disclosed backtick/brace sample. The measurement's NOTE KEY is rendered in the integrity cell and these tokens are not: printing four backticked words beside a source this gate did not refuse would read as evidence about a document nothing here took issue with, and this measurement decides nothing by construction.;

summary.canary.failed_engines – a copy of `canary.failed\_engines`, rendered in the canary block, named again in the certification reason, and re-derived against the original by `validate\_packet`.;

summary.canary.probes_failed – a copy of `canary.probes\_failed`, rendered in the canary block and re-derived against it by `validate\_packet`.;

summary.canary.status – a copy of `canary.status`, which the canary block renders in full. `validate\_packet` refuses a copy that disagrees with its original, so the second printing would add no assurance -- and two places to read one fact is how a reader learns to check neither.